

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of:	)	
	:	Examiner: Haresh N. Patel
MASAMI KATO ET AL.	)	
	:	Art Unit: 2154
Appln. No.: 09/884,063	)	
	:	Conf. No.: 1691
Filed: June 20, 2001	)	
	:	
For: IMAGE DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM, AND	)	
IMAGE DISTRIBUTION METHOD AND	:	
PROGRAM THEREFOR	)	
	:	
U.S. Patent No.: 7,111,045 B2	)	
	:	
Issued: September 19, 2006	)	May 1, 2008

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

LETTER CALLING ATTENTION TO ERRORS IN PATENT

Sir:

The following minor errors have been noted in the above-mentioned patent:

ON THE TITLE PAGE [57] ABSTRACT:

Line 7, "coefficients" should read --coefficient--; and  
Line 10, "--((S5)" should read --(S5)--.

SHEET 5:

Figure 6, "BRAKE" should read --BREAK--; and  
"UNDER" should read --UNDER BREAK--.

COLUMN 1:

Line 18, “works” (three occurrences) should read --work--;  
Line 29, “conjection” should read --congestion--;  
Line 36, “executes” should read --execute--; and  
Line 61, “system.” should read --systems.--.

COLUMN 2:

Line 26, “respective” should read --respectively--; and  
Line 45, “respective” should read --respectively--.

COLUMN 5:

Line 24, “clicks an” should read --clicks a--.

COLUMN 6:

Line 22, “detected for example” should read --detected, for example, by--; and  
Line 25, “1245-1252 ((1997)” should read --1245-1252 (1997)--.

COLUMN 7:

Line 13, “system,” should read --system),--; and  
Line 60, “(S21) namely” should read --(S21), namely,--.

COLUMN 8:

Line 31, “required” should read --require--; and  
Line 62, “fetched” should read --is fetched--.

COLUMN 9:

Line 11, “in case” should read --in the case--;  
Line 14, “in case” should read --in the case--;  
Line 19, “do not” should read --does not--;  
Line 45, “case” should read --the case--; and  
Line 67, “case” should read --the case wherein--.

COLUMN 10:

Line 3, "case" should read --the case--;  
Line 5, "case" should read --the case--;  
Line 6, "case" should read --the case--;  
Line 10, "case" should read --the case--;  
Line 11, "case" should read --the case--;  
Line 31, "case" should read --the case--; and  
Line 45, "case" should read --the case--.

COLUMN 11:

Line 22, "case" should read --the case--;  
Line 24, "case" should read --the case--;  
Line 26, "case" should read --the case--;  
Line 27, "case" should read --the case--; and  
Line 29, "case" should read --the case--.

COLUMN 12:

Line 57, "works" should read --work--.

COLUMN 13:

Line 34, "is arranged" should read --are arranged--.

COLUMN 14:

Line 26, "are arranged" should read --is arranged--; and  
Line 56, "user" should be deleted.

These errors appear to be relatively insignificant and, therefore, we do not believe it is necessary to request a Certificate of Correction. However, it is requested that this paper be placed in the filewrapper of the above-identified patent.

Patentees' undersigned attorney may be reached in our New York office by telephone at (212) 218-2100. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Leonard P. Diana/

Leonard P. Diana  
Attorney for Patentees  
Registration No. 29,296

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO  
30 Rockefeller Plaza  
New York, New York 10112-3801  
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

FGHS\_WS 2129106v1